FORM NO - 4

(SEE RULE 11 (1)

IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, KOLKATA

ORDER SHEET

APPLICATION No : O A 121 of 2012

APPLICANT (S)

Col. R.K.Tripathi

RESPONDENT (S)

Union of India & 5 Ors

Legal Practitioner of applicant

Legal Practitioner for Respondent (s)

Mr. Rajiv Mangalik

Mr. M.K.Goswami (res. 1-3)/Mr. S.K.Bhattachryya, (res. 4)

NOTES OF THE REGISTRY	ORDERS OF THE TRIBUNAL	
	Order Sl. No. :	<u>Dated</u> : 22.11.2013
	M.K.Goswami, Id. adv. is preser while Mr. S.K.Bhattacharyya, Id. No. 4. Lt. Col. S. Prabhu. AMS(Lis also present. Perused the office note. It at the interim order granted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observations. A copy of the order file. The OA is taken up for hearim. Mr. Mangalik, Id. adv. for the and concluded his arguments. While examining the record been filed either on behalf of	is present for the applicant. Mant on behalf of respondents 1 to 3 d. adv. is present for respondent Legal) from MS Branch, New Delhappears that the SLP filed against is Tribunal in this case before the second been rejected with certain er of the Hon'ble Apex Court is in ing. The applicant has argued at length districtions it is found that A/O has not respondents 1 to 3 or by the composition of the A/O acopy was served upon Mr. and However, due to inadvertent

-2-

undertakes to file affirmed A/O in course of three days. He is permitted to do so. Mr. Bhattacharyya submits that he does not wish to file any more A/O in this case.

During the course of hearing, certain queries were raised to be answered by the respondents. Some documents have also been asked for, which have been taken note by the AMS (Legal) of MS Branch, who is present in court. We also direct the respondents to ensure that an officer dealing with Boards should be made available on the next date to explain these aspects more elucidatedly.

Let the matter be adjourned for further hearing on 5.2.14 when it will appear as part-heard.

(LT. GEN K.P.D.SAMANTA) MEMBER(A)

(JUSTICE RAGHUNATH RAY) MEMBER(J)